

**PATENT APPLICATION**  
**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of

Docket No: Q93635

Jess KOEHLER, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/571,475

Group Art Unit: 4175

Confirmation No.: 7567

Examiner: Christina A RIDDLE

Filed: February 12, 2007

For: ILLUMINATION SYSTEM FOR A MICROLITHOGRAPHY PROJECTION  
EXPOSURE INSTALLATION

**RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENTS**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This responds to the Restriction and Election of Species Requirements, dated March 13, 2009. In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicant elects Group I, claims 47, 49-66, 68-82, and 87-91 for examination. This election is made without traverse.

Applicant additionally responds to the Election of Species Requirement. The Examiner has identified the application as containing claims directed to ten distinct species. The Examiner has required the Applicant to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The Examiner has identified claims 47, 49, 50 and 56 as being generic. Applicant has been advised that a response to this requirement shall include an identification of the species that is elected and a listing of all claims readable thereon.